

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

| | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| DERRICK SIMPSON, | Case No. 2:15-cv-00254-RFB-DJA |
| Plaintiff, | <u>ORDER</u> |
| v. | |
| F. AGATONE, <i>et al.</i> , | |
| Defendants. | |

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 29) of the Honorable Daniel J. Albregts, United States Magistrate Judge, entered January 7, 2020.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Id.; D. Nev. Civ. R. IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also D. Nev. Civ. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” *de novo* or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by January 21, 2020. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.


//

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 29) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case proceed on the operative Amended Complaint claims as outlined in the Court's Order (ECF No. 20) and Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 27) is **DENIED**.

The Court Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff.

DATED: February 11, 2020.


RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
United States District Judge